TITLE Proceedings of the Management Information Systeas October 1973 In-Service Session (Atlanta, Georgia, October 18-19. 1973).
INSTITUTION Institute for Services to Education, Inc.. Washington. D.C. TACTICS Management Information Systems Directorate.
SPONS AGENCY Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C. pUB DATE NOTE Feb 74 95p.

EDLS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

MF-\$0.75 HC-\$4.20 PLUS POSTAGE Conference Reports; Data Processing; *Educatiopal hdministration; *Educational planning; *Higher Education; *Institutes (Training Programs); *Management Information Systems; Management Systems

## ABSTEACT

The October 1973 in-service session held in atlanta, Georgia was the first of two in-service sessions held as a follow-up to the Summer 1973 Information Management Training Institute held in Nashville, Tennessee in June 1973. The purpose of the in-service sessions was to ascertain the progress made as a result of the summer programs by the various participants on the individual campuses represented at the Sumer Institute. This report presents follow-up reports by participants of the summer institute. Topic cover: (1). Step-by-step development of an information system; conversion from manual to automatic data control center. (2) overview of the Tuskeegee management information systems: designing specifications to serve the institution; (3) organizational sirategies for instituting a management information system; (4) Development of a student gaidance and counseling system; (5) Methods of determining departmental and institutional costs: (6) Building process for a management information system: (7) A planning, management, and evaluation system for the new advar institutional development program. (MJM)
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The Institute for Services to Education (ISE) was incorperated as a non-profit organization in 1965 and subsequentiy received a basic grant from the Carnegie Corporation of New York. The organization is founded on the principle that education today requires a fresh examina: on of what is worth teaching and how to teach it. ISE is a catalyst for change. Under grants from government agencies and private foundations, ISE undertakes a variety of educational tasks working cooperatively with other educational institutions. It does not just produce educational naterials or techniques that are innovative: it develops, in cooperation with teachers and administrators, procedures for effective installation of successful materials and techniques in the fietd of education.

## TACTICS (Technical Assistance Consortium t. Improve College Services)

## Executive Director: <br> Van S. Allen <br> Assistant Director: Mahlon Griffith

TACTICS is a program which provides technical assistance to the Black colleges and universities to enable them to develop whatever expertise they need to be more effective in achieving their guals. The TACTICS efforts have as their primary goals:
[] To create a cool of deployable manpower using the most highly trained personnel in these colleges as well as in the nation, to deal with specific institutional problems identified by the colleges themselvesTo assist the colleges in their efforts to strengthen academic programs by helping ther,i design academic and administrative support $s \gamma s t e m s$To establish a closer interface between federal programs and the institutionsTo ensure that the colleges become knowledgeable about federal funding programs as well as nongovernmental programs from which they can benefit
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The Mar agement Information S , stems portion of the TACTICS program under the aegis of the Institute for Services to Education, Inc., has as one of its mandates to train college administrators in the development of information systems. This particular institute was designed for that purpose.
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## PREFACE

Th: Oct ber 1973 Inservice Session held in Atlanta, Georgia, October 18-19, 1973 was the lirst of two in-service session hed as a follow-ap to the Summer 1973 Information Management Training Institute* held in Nashville, Tennessee from June 10-21, 1973.

The purpose of the in-service sessions is to ascertain the progress made as a result of the summer program, by the various participants (and their colleagues) on the individual campuses represented at the Summer Institute. It was irtended-by MIS-that the information and technical knowledge acquired by the participants during the summer was rot to remain in the heads of those participants only. Instead, the institute was designed so that the tean approaches to solving institutional problems would be carried over onto the participant's campus, with that person acting as a resource for conveying the ideas acquired in the summer grogram to the rest of the campus personnel, thereby establishing a team effort on their campus. The tean concept materialized on a number of campuses according to questionaires received from the summer participants. Management fiformation Systems program personned visited some of the schools and lent technical assistance when requested. Most of the follow-up activities on campus dealt with establishing an information systems modute: others were involved in shaping their freshman information or admissions and registration procedures. In still other cases, the teams were more concerned with curriculam development and planning.

As a result of the Management Information Systems staff's visitations to the campuses, teams from seven (7) TACTICS schools were identified as possible significant contributors to the first (of the two) in-service session. These teams were involved in a number of activities and the team "leaders" were notified and requested to participate as moderators in the various special interest group (SIG) sessions. The following is a list of the topics presented together with their presentors.

## TOPIC

1. "Step-by-step Development of an Infornation System: Conversion from Manual to Automatic Data Control Center"

## PRESENTORS

Joseph L. White, Moderator Pauline Ferguson
Genel Hairston
Elva J. Jones
Winstun-Satem State University Winston-Salem, North Carolina

Mr. White, and his associates, shared with the participants, the development of the Winston-Satem State University (WSSU) information system. He offered examples of the pre-printed forms in use at WSSU, and detailed the steps taken in their changeover from unit record equipment to an IBM $360 / 20$ data processing system. Plans for the future development were also discussed.
2. ."Overview of the Tuskegee Management Information System: Designing Specifications to Serve your Institution."

Matt R. Ward, IIl, Moderator Glenell S. Smoot
Albert S. Tammany
Tuskegee Institute
Tuskegee, Alabama

This presentation dealt with an overview of the Tuskegee Management Information System as well as offering suggestions to the participants on the design of an information system at their institutions. Mr. Ward states that, "The design of an infurmation system is a process wherein no 'foolproof' rules exist which can

[^0]guarantee an optimal or even satisfactory solution". Mr. Ward's associate, Mr. Tammany discussed the Tuskegee Plaming, Programming and Budgeting System.
3. Organizational Strategies for Instituting a Management Information System: Leadership Roles for Coordinating and ldentifying New Responsibilities on Campus"

Lloyd R. Howell, Moderator
Knoxville College
Knoxville, Tenmessee

Mr. Howell discussed some of the heman factors involved in establishing an intormation system, and the kinds of qualities necessary for that person who is or will be in the leadership role.
4. "Freshman Research Project: Development of a Student Guidance and Counseling System"

Walter C. Howard, Molerator<br>George B. Tutt<br>Miles College<br>Birmingham, Alabama

Miles College recently decided that their system for gathering data on their freshman stadents was not adequate enough to meet their counseling needs. Mr. Howard and Mr. Tutt gave a step-by-step procedure on the development of their data base for incoming students.
5. "Methods of Determining Departmental and

Lawrence Jacobs, Moderator Institutional Costs: Survival of Educational Programs in the Curriculam"

Roger Mikesell
Oakwood College
Huntsville, Alabama
"Some of the issues at [Oakwood College] have been, who gets the new teacher, or who gets the new classroom or office." Mr. Jacobs' mission was to establish a methodology for resolving the aforementioned issues. He and Mr. Mikesell shared these findings with the participants.
6. "Building Process for a Managenent Information System: Panning - Implementation - Execution"

Josepll White, Moderator Mr. George F. Bowie, III<br>Mrs. Ziner J. Reid<br>Mrs. Doris G. Sawyer<br>Mr. James Swimpson

Elizabeth City State University's information system wals developed as a response to some problems they were experiencing as the University operation became more complex. Mr. White, and his associates, offered some insights into how they implemented solutions to some of their problems.
7. "A Planning, Management, and Evaluation System for the New Advanced Institutional Development Program: A Design for Accountability"

Oscar A. Rogers, Moderator
Hilliard L. lackey
Jackson State College
Jackson, Mississippi

Dr. Rogers and Mr. Lackey provided the participants with some of the criteria necessary for accountability, particularly as it relates to the Advanced Institutional Development Program of the Office of Education. In the discussion, he offered some examples of information requirements for applying for AIDP funds.

The topics presented daring the October 1973 In-service Session provided a formm in which the participants from the summer, and other interested persons, could draw from the experiences of the teams involved in the presentations. Recognizing their similarities, they could relate to the progress made or problems encountered by members of the different shools involved in the presentations. In addition, suggestions were made to presentors on how they might utilize their resources better, to solve some of their problems as well as accomplist some of their goals and objectives, as seen by the participants.

Attendance at the October session was excellent. Ninety-two (92) persons were in attendance from 45 colleges/universities and 3 agencies in 15 states, as indicated during registration. In many cases, entire teams from each campus attended. Evaluation questionnaites, distributed to the participatns, indicated that the In-service session had served its purpose. All of the respondents rated the conference, as a whole, good to excellent, and indicated that it provided a learning experience which few similarly structured conferences could match.

James A. Welch
Linda M. Jackson
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## INSTITUTION

Elizabeth City State University
Winston-Salem State University
Winston-Salem State University
Atlanta University Complex
Miles College
Knoxville College
Oakwood College
Winston-Salem State University
Jackson State College
Oakwood College
Elizabeth City State University
Jackson State College
Elizabeth City State University
Tuskegee Institute
Elizabeth City State University
Tuskegee Institute
Miles College
Tuskegee Institute
Winston-Sa!em State University
Elizabeth City State University

[^1]
## PARTICIPANTS

John Baker, Jr.<br>Alabama State University<br>Hash: 11 S. Bingham<br>Jackson State College<br>Geotge F. Bowie, Ill<br>Elizabeth City State University<br>Jack S. Brayboy<br>Johnson C. Smith University<br>Susan II. Brooks<br>Wilberforce University<br>George W. Brown<br>Fayetterille State University<br>Vera B. Brown<br>Albany State Collcge<br>Leonard L. Burke<br>Alabama State University<br>Mrs. Jacquelyn M. Byers<br>Savannah State College<br>Thomas Byers<br>Savanah State College<br>Lamore J. Carter<br>Grambling College<br>Alvin Collins<br>Savamah State College<br>L. C. Collins<br>Johnson C. Smith University<br>Thomas J. Crawford<br>South Carolina State College<br>A. M. Davenport, II<br>Southern University in New Orleans<br>Mack L. Davidson, Jr.<br>Johnson C. Smith University<br>Franklin D. Dyson<br>Tennessee State University

M. F. Dyson

Southern University in New Orleans
Charles F. Eastey
Morris Brown College
Willie T. Ellis
North Carolina A\&T State University
S. L. Evans

Friendship College
Pauline Ferguson
Winston-Salem State University
Christopher T. Fisher
Virginsa Union University
David W. Friedrichs
Shaw College at Detroit
William F. Furs
Southern University in New Orleans
Franklin Gayles
Virginia Union University
Charles F. George
Knoxville College
Benjamin II. Groomes
Albany State College
Genel Hairston
Winston-Saiem State University
Emily H. Harper
Livingstone College
fames E. Harris
LeMoyne-Owen College
Charles L. Hayes
Albany State College
Claire Hibbert
United Negro College Fund
Billic J. Hooker
Office for the Advancement of the Public Negro Colleges

| W. C. Howard | Arenia Mallory |
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| Alfred Lang | Gwendolyn H. Porter |
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| Virginia L. Lewis | L. E. McMurtry-Reed |
| Histon-Tillotson College | Utica Junior College |
| J. A. Lockett | Annie T. Reid |
| Johnson C. Smith University | Bowie State College |
| Edward Lundin | Ziner J. Reid |
| Spelman College | Elizabeth City State Universit |


| Edward E. Riley, Jr. Spelman College | Albany S. Tammany, III Tuskegee Institute |
| :---: | :---: |
| Imogene Robinson | RuVenias. Tolen |
| Bowie State College | Edward Waters College |
| O. A. Rogers, Jr. | John E. Toppins |
| Jackson State College | Stiliman College |
| Doris G. Sawyer | George B. Tutt |
| Elizabeth City State University | Miles College |
| M. F. Shute | Charles Varner, Jr. |
| Bennett College | Albama State University |
| Bessie F. Simpson | Matt R. Ward, III |
| Hampton Institute | Tuskegee Institute |
| Lillie K. Singleton | Joseph L. White |
| Lawson State Junior College | Winston-Salem State University |
| Bernard S. Smith | Joseph S. White |
| United Board for College Development | Elizabeth City State University |
| Glennell Strum Smoot | John Williams |
| Tuskegee Institute | Knoxville College |
| Agal E. Spraggins | Rudolph A. Williams |
| St. Augustine's College | Kittrell College |
| James Swimpson | Martha W. Wison |
| Elizabeth City State University | Savamal State College |
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Albany S. Tammany, III
Tuskegee Institute
RuVenia S. Tolen
Edward Waters College
John E. Toppins
Stilman College
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Miles College
Charles Varner, Jr.
Albama State University
Matt R. Ward, III
Tuskegee Institute
Joseph L. White
Winston-Salem State University
Joseph S. White
Elizabeth City State University
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Martha W. Wilson
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WHAT FOLLOWS ARE THE INDIVIDUAL REPORTS SUBMITTED BY EACH MODERATOR BASED ON THE TOPIC PRESENTED BY THEM IN THE SESSIONS.
"Step-By-Step Development of a Smail College Information System"

Presentor(s) Joseph L. White, Moderator
Ms. Pauline Ferguson
Mrs. Genel Hairston
Mrs. Elya J. Jones

Winston-Sarm State University Winston-Satom, North Carolina

## SUBJECT:

Step-By-Step Development of a smatl College Intormation System

## TEAM MEMBERS:

Mrs. Elva J. Jones, Instructor of Computer Programming
Mrs. Genel Hairston, In Charge of Student Persomel Computer Files
Ms. Pauline Perguson, ln Clarge of Student Aid, Student Payrolls, Alumni Records, Library Inventory and all Miscellaneous Applications. Really our girl Friday.

Mrs. Evelyn II. Henighan, Computer Programmer (Not Present)
Joseph L. White, Data Processing Manager
The members of our M.I.S. Tean were chosen because of their knowledge of the flow of the different types of information to and from the University Compater Center.

## TEAM OBJECTIVE:

To develop an information center at Winston-Sitem State University that could truly be defined as a complete information system.

We would like to share with you:

1. Some things about our Institution;
2. Tell you how you can get into electronic data processing at your insitution for around $\$ 600.00$ rental per month.
3. To show, as the result of processing data with data processing equipment renting for only $\$ 600.00$, how many reports can be derived as by-products.
4. To share with you how we made our changeover from init record equipment to the small $360 / 20$ System.
5. To talk about some of the applications we now have on with the system.
6. And to share with you some of our plans for the future development of our system.

## TO DISCUSS WITH YOU

7. Our information flow;
8. Show you sume of our reports:
9. Pass out to you a copy of some of our major forms for comments and evaluation;
10. Have an open discussion on what you are doing at your institutions that we can help you with, or what you feel might be of help to us in devele, ing our information system.

To begin. I would like to tell you a little about our institution.
Winston-Salem State is a small Liberal Arts University with a total enrolment of 1,895 students for the 1972-73 school year. The enrollment for the Fatl Semester of the 1973-74 school year is 1,653 . Of this total, 934 are classified as boarding students.

Majors are offered in the following fields:
Education
Liberal Arts
Business
Nursing

Natural Science
Social Science
Winston-Salem State University is a well-rounded institution of which the faculty, staff and students are very proud. We all take an active part in improving the conditions as they exist at the University.

It is well known for its fine basketball team, and especially for sending Earl "The Pearl" Monroe to the Pros.

It is also known for its famons Basketball Coach C.E. "Bighouse" Gaines, who is the third winningest coach in the history of basketball.

So much for "tooting our own horn".
Winston-Salem State University got its first Data Processing equipment in 1964. However, it was not until the fall of 1966 that it began to develop its information system.

The development started with:
One 082 Sorter:
One 402 Accounting Mitchine;
One 514 Reproducer;
One 085 Collator:
One 026 Key Punch Interpreter;
One 056 Verifier;
Later a 602 Calculator was added.
This equipment was used to process many of the reports that are now being processed on the small $360 / 208 \mathrm{~K}$ Card System.

I would like to point out that although when one talks about developing an information system, automatically we think that a computer is needed. I agree that as you develop your system one will be needed, but there are many college applications that can be processed using the equipment that we have shown you.

This equipment, although not as fast, and with its limitations, is a step in the right direction.

## ADVANTAGES

1. It is faster, more flexible and more accurate than a manual system;
2. Also much cheaper than s small computer system;
3. It gives small colleges who might not be financially able to rent or lease their own computer system, limited electronic automatic data processing capabilities at an economical cost.

The combination you just saw costs approximately $\$ 600.00$ per month. If you are in the market for this type of equipment it would help to contact a variety of vendors to see just what each one has to offer. Companies such as T.L.W. Company here in Atlanta would be an ideal place to start.
4. Many times businesses in your communities will have this type of equipment available and will be willing to donate it to an institution so they can use it as a tax write-off.

I would like to share witt you some of the applications that were put on at Winston-Salem State University using this type of equipment.

Course and Class Admissions Cards
Add and Drop Reports
Class Rolls, Grades, and Grade Labels
Graduating Seniors' Class Rolls
Student Schedules
Daily Registration Einrollment Totals
Student Payrolls
Student Statistical Reports
Student Address Listing (Permanent and Local)
Student Accounts Receivable Report
You will tind that by using electronic data processing equipment whether the type just shown, or some type of computer system, that many times information desired, but not feasible manually become readily available. Oftentimes this information is a by-product of another report or data gathered for another report.

By changing the data around you are able to get the desired information in the desired format.

An example of what I am talking about as a by-product:
Using the course cards, we run the class rolls for each class with the student I.D. number and name.

For the Spring Semester each year, we are able to separate the course cards of graduating seniors from the non-graduating students' course cards and run official class rolls for graduating seniors only.

This has several advantages:

1. A control for getting senior grades in on time.
2. Aliows us to know a week in advance who is to graduate.
3. Allows graduatesto-be to have a copy of their final grade sheets in their hands three working days before graduation.
4. Allows graduates to have a complete transcript of their grades sent to prospective employers by date of graduation.

This may seem like a small problem to solve, but if you have to work directly with the problem or if you were a senior that was on the borderline, you would understand the importance of this simple operation. Graduating Seniors' Official Cliss Rolls came about without really any extra work or time being spent.

Other examples are Student Payroll Checks, Quarierly FICA Report, and W-2 Forms. Once we started doing the student payroll, it was very simple to take the same cards and in about 10 minutes run the checks for 650 students.

This, if being done manually, would take at least 16 hours, or if sent out to a bank or outside computer center would cost 5 to 10 cents a check or more.

The Quarterly FICA Report becomes also a by-product, because it is just a matter of taking the payroll cards for the 3 month period and sorting them together and running the report. Yet manually, this would be a time constming operation.

W-2 Forms become a by-product of your quarterly FICA report. For each perion you would have a Quarterly FICA summary card for each of the four quarters worked and it is a simple matter to put them together and come up with the needed data for the W-2 forms.

Student local and permanent addruss labets for mailing is another by-product. Once the address information is punched into cards, it can be used to run address tabels instead of having someone to spend hours typing them.

A little nicety is the addresses can also be broken down by classification and the President or Advisor of each chass can have a copy for class contact or labels for mailing.

By Religion for the Chaplain
In alpha order by parent for reference
There are many other jobs that when done manally allow no ready way to get a by-product. However, with good phanning, electronic data processing equipment can most of the time give you the additional information desired.

Our change-over from what we call unit record equipment to the small $360 / 208 \mathrm{~K}$ card system was made after doing a stady to decide:

1. What the University wanted and needed to have done?

2 . If a system for the amount of money avaitable could be leased that would fulfill the answer to the previous question?
3. What would be the cost for the center to be converted from unit record equipment to the new system?
4. If there would be a need for additional persomel?
5. What jobs would have priority in being added?
6. How long would the conversion take?
7. What would be the best time for the conversion to take place?
8. If the small system being leased could be expanded for future growth?
9. How much training would be needed by the center personnel?

We were hocky to have a part-time instructor who knew the computer langhage of the system we were going to lease, and this allowed us to have all of our major programs ready when our system was put into operation.

Recommendation: If you are planning to lease your own system, it would be good to look around to see if there is someone in your town who can assist you with your initial programs; this will save you both time and money.

We still have on all the jobs we had using the other equipment, but almost all have been changed to give more needed information and the time needed to produce this information has been greatly decreased.

Many new applications have been added that were of vital need to the University to assist the administration in decision-making.

Also, some jobs that were not feasible before with the old system and therefore had to be done manually, making them history before they were available, now become time-saving, current information.

The new system has greatly curtailed overtime for the Computer Center, the Registrar's Office and the Business Office.

## ADOHTIONAL APPLICATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN ADDED

## **Spread of Student Semester Charges**

This job was always a manaal nightmare for the Business Office becaluse of the time it took at a very busy period, yet it also was a must to get done. It lead to over 50 hours of overtime. Now the entire job takes only $21 / 2$ hours.

Some of the other applications that were added inchude:
Student Aid Timesheets
Library Inventory
Physical Inventory
Maintenance Vehicle Report
Alumni Records
Space Utilization
Book Inventory
Recruiting Report
Book Store Charges
With the old equipment, it took 20 hours to process the grade report. With the Computer system, this time has been decreased to three hours.

I could go on and on about the blessings of our step up to the small computer system, and the improvement it has made in the administrative functions of our institution.

## FUTURE PLANS

Our plans for the future are the continued development and expansion of our information system.

This fiscal year the computer center received an increase of $\$ 34,000$ in its budget.
This money is being used to:

1. Upgrade our computer system from an 8 K Card System to a 16 K Disk System with 5.7 million bytes of magnetic storage. The new system is now on order.
2. To hire a full-time programmer. (Which we have done)
3. To lease a terminal for instruction. (Thus leaving more computer time for administrative data processing)
4. To continue our participation in M.I.S., so that we might have its assistance in our development in the future as we have in the past. (You can see we have been indoctrinated.)

We would like to share with you some of the applications we plan to pht on with our step up to magnetic storage.

School Budget
Course Master Schedule
Library Circulation
Student Transcript Information
Faculty and Staff Information
Admissions Information

## 1. INFORMATION FLOW

Show Chatt and Explain
2. We have brought along copies of some of our major reports that you might be interested in seeing. They will be over on the table and the last 10 minutes of this presentation will be spent letting you browse through them and we will be around to answer your questions or to take suggestions on how they may be improved.
3. At this time we would like to pass out a booklet of the major pre-printed forms at Winston-Salem State University and share with you how they are used by the computer center.
***NUMBER OF PEOPLLE***
***CCURSE CARD***

Winston-Salem State University Major Pre-Printed Forms
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fRANCES R. COBLE
GISTRAR
WINSTON-SALEM STATE UNIVERSITY
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# DESIGNING SPECIFICATIONS TO SERVE YOUR INSTITUTION 

## Presentor(s) Matt Ward, Moderator

Albert Tammany

Tuskegee Institute
Tuskegee, Alabama
$15 / 16$

## PART I:

TUSKEGBE INSTITUTE - Last week Mr. Tammany and I were out in Denver at NCHEMS and the question was asked if we were repairing tractors at Tuskegee? This let me know that not everyone is cognizant of what Tuskege Institute is. They think form the name "Institute" that we are a prep school, so let us set the record straight.

Tuskege lnstitute is, by all definitions, a scientific and professional University offering 40 undergraduate degrees, 26 graduate degrees and 3 professionals - BS, BA, M/ED, MS, DVM, B/ARCH, and ADA--with a present enrollment of 3171 students. It is admintistratively organized witlı a President (Dr. L. H. Foster), Vice President Business Affairs, Vice President Academic Affairs, Vice President Student Affairs and Vice President for Development. There are 5 academic schools (Vet Med, Education, Nursing, Applied Sciences and Engineering) and a college of Arts and Sciences. In addition to its academics, there exists public service in the form of Human Resources Development Center, a 150 bed accredited hospital, a veterinary hospital and basic and professional research in the Carver Research Foundation. The school's budget is approximately 20 million dollars.

The Office of Operations Analysis and Research (OAR) is an arm of the President's Office charged with overall Institute functions to include budget preparation, systems design, organizational analysis and operational procedures. There are two computer centers. One. in the school of Engineering, contains a 32 K Hewlett-Packard 2000F Time-Sharing Basic W/TTY terminals across campus. The other, in the Administrative data processing center, has an IBM System 3 model No. 1032 K with $42 \mathrm{M} /$ Bytes diskpacks. Back-up is provided by Auburn University's 1BM 370/155 where we run NCHEMS's Resource Requirements Prediction Model (RRPM 1.6) and other models.

The design of an information system is a process wherein no "fool-proof" rules exist which can guarantee an optimal or even satisfactory solution. It is a "creative" process which is basically dependent upon: (a) Precise definition of the problem. (b) Comprehensive knowledge of existing equipment and techniques, e.g., what? how? Why? and is this the best way?, and (c) development of alternate approaches to the problem. An information system consists of several key components: (1) people (who), (2) equipment and procedures (how), and (3) data (what). Data represents the fundamental entities which are structured by the other components into "information" reflecting data relationships in a meaningful mamer. The success of an information system design lies in its ability to reflect the informational characteristics of the Institute which are structured by organizational design/operation, variety of decisions made, information sources/sinks, as well as timing. The design should also be flexible enotugh to be adaptable to new demands and to anticipate these wherever possible.

The concept of an information system implies at least the following:
a. All requirements for data accumulation, storage, analysis and dissemination for institute operations, (e.g. computer)
b. All system users be assigned appropriate priorities for access to and use of the system.
c. Same systen used for Institute Operation, evaluation and planning, with procedures for several means of extracting information in flexible form to produce standard subsets of reports or specialized reports.
d. Standardization of collection and input of processing information.
e. Elimination or reduction of overiap by standardizing codes, forms, etc.
f. Central inquiry capability for all information.

More than one approach to the design problem exists, however the following seguence is preferred:
a. Define and document decisions and information flows.
b. Design a central file structure that is comprehensive, nexible, and responsive to user demands.
c. Develop support system that:

1. Gathers required data
2. Has efficient record formats
3. Has well defined procedures for maintaining and up-dating records
4. Can handle exceptions
5. Evaluates existing system with a view toward modification and up-date
d. Create a report generator (operating system) capable of producing reports selectively at various decision levels.

TMIS (Tuskegee Management information System) centers on a series of "Source" (reterence) files and generated applications. Some modules are illustrated on chart No. 1, "Recurring Cycle". For example, a type of source file is represented by the staff-faculty (S\&F) file, displayed on chart no. 1 and further explored in detail on charts 2, 3 and 4.

Data elements available in the S\&F file inchade those necessary for current and anticipated compliance reporting such as date of appointment, appointment type, race, sex, current salary, previous salary, job performed with percentage FTE, etc.

Looking at chart no. I again we can see that the S\&F file feeds numerous other records/applications including HEGIS, AAUP, TACTICS, budget data and RRPM 1.6 (Resource Requirements Prediction Model) developed at the National Center for Higher Education Management Systems (NCHEMS). Mr. Tammany will now discuss this and other modeling efforts at Tuskegee Institute.





| ELEMENT | Files |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | STAFF/FACULTY | STUDENT | ALUMNI |
| NAME | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| SSAN | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| SEX | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| AGE | ? | $?$ |  |
| 8IRTH DTE \& PLACE | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| MARITAL STATUS | $x$ | $x$ |  |
| MILITARY STATUS | $x$ | $x$ |  |
| CITIZENSHIP STATUS | $x$ | X |  |
| RACE | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| DEPENDENTS | $x$ | $x$ |  |
| EMERGENCY CONTACT (NAME, ETC.) | $x$ | $x$ |  |
| CURRENT LOCAL ADORESS | $x$ | $x$ |  |
| PERM ADDRESS (HOR/GUARDIAN NAME) | $x$ | X | $x$ |
| CAMPUS OFFICE ADDRESS | $x$ |  |  |
| APPOINTMENT TITLE | $x$ |  |  |
| APPOINTMENT TYPE | $x$ |  |  |
| APPOINTMENT COOE | $x$ |  |  |
| APPOINTMENT EFFECTIVE DTE | $x$ |  |  |
| APPOINTMENT EXPIRE DTE | $x$ |  |  |
| APPOINTMENT PERCENTAGS | $x$ |  |  |
| APPOIINTMENT SALAAY BUOGETEC | $x$ |  |  |
| APPOINTMENT PERIOD | $x$ |  |  |
| UNDERGRAD ED/INSTITITIONS/DTES | X | $x$ |  |
| GRAD EO/H!GHEST/INSTITUTION/DTES | $x$ | $x$ |  |
| LICENSES/CERTS/REGISTRATIONS | $x$ | $x$ |  |
| ACCOUNT NR | $x$ |  |  |
| BENEFITS/TUITION, ETC., FINAN AID | $x$ | x |  |
| TENURE STATUS | $x$ |  |  |
| COURSE ASSIGNMENTS |  |  |  |
| PAYROLL NR | $x$ | $x$ ( 3 ) |  |
| PRIMARY MANPOWER/STUDENT NR* | $x$ | $x$ |  |
| PREVIOUS COLLEGE CREDITS ACCEPTED |  | $x$ |  |
| COURSES COMPLETED \& COURSES TO 8E COMPLETED |  | $x$ |  |
| FOR DEGREE BY SEMESTER |  | $x$ |  |
| A COURSENP |  | $x$ |  |
| B. OFSCRIPTION IGU TO CRSE FILE) |  |  |  |
| C. SUBSTITUTIONS (ADD/DROP) |  | $x$ |  |
| D. LOCATION |  |  |  |
| E. CRSE \& LAB HRS |  |  |  |
| F. CREOIT/LECT/LAB HRS |  | $x$ |  |
| G. GRADE RECEIVED |  | X |  |
| H. GPA BY SEM \& CUM |  | $x$ |  |
| I. INSTR (NAME \& SSAN) |  | $x$ |  |
| J. FEES DUE \& PAID |  | x |  |
| RELIGIOUS PREF | $x$ |  |  |
| ADOITIONAL M P HRS AS NEEDED | X |  |  |
| LEVEL OR CLASSIFICATION |  | $x$ |  |
| TEGISTRATION TYPE |  | X |  |
| ENTRANCE TEST SCORES CEEB |  | $x$ |  |
| HIGH SCHOOL PERCENTILE RANK |  | $x$ |  |
| CLASS YEAR |  |  | $x$ |
| ADVISOR |  | $x$ |  |
| 'STUDENT NR - 14 DIGIT NR SHOWING MAJUR, SCHOOL |  |  |  |

## Part II:

Tuskegee Institue has incorporated into its emerging planning, programming and budgeting system (see exhibit no. I) the student flow and cost simulation models developed by the National Center for IIigher Education Management Systems (NCHIMS) at the Western Interstate Commission for Iligher Education (WICHE). Adoption of the NCHEMS software resulted from dissatisfaction with the traditional planning and budgeting approaeh. (see exhibit no. 2)

Tuskegee wished to move from merely defining the monetary requirements of each organizational unit to relating those "dollar inputs" to the various "outputs." This kind of information is becoming increasingly important as state and federal agencies are asking deducational administrators to justify output costs. NCHEMS software enab!ed our institution to "link resource requests directly to programs that produce outputs ${ }^{1}$ ", and subsequently put us one step closer to quantitative cost justification.

The student flow model projects enrollments by major and student level (see exhibit no. 3). This information is merged in the cost simulation inodel with various plans-sets of parameters such as faculty salaries, class size, support staff data, supplies expense to foreast resource requirements. Administrators are thus able to compare the costs of various plans and consider these costs in relation to their anticipated benefits. The cost simulation model also generates a traditional budget showing the distribution of dollars across the various departments. (see exhibit no. 4)

The NCIIEMS student How model uses trasitional probabilities in forecasting the flow of students between majors from year 1 through year 4. For example, in exhibit no. 5, 100 "A" majors enroll as Freshmen. By the end of the year, 10 have dropped out of school, 9 entered major "B", and 27 entered major "C". Over the course of four years only 34 students will actually tinish as "A" majors. This information may be merged with an "in-house" correlation and regression analysis program to pinpoint who drops out or changes major and why. The overall result is that the administration can better understand what is happening to different groups of students and make the appropriate changes/ modifications.

The principal constituent of the NCHEMS cost simulation model is the Induced Course Load Matrix (KLM). This matrix displays the number of credit hours in departments/ disciplines taken by the average student enrolled in a particular program/major. In exhibit no. 6, the average " $B$ " major can be expected to enroll in 3.2 hours in department no. 1, 4.5 hours in department no. 2, 5.7 hours in department 3, and 1.6 hours in department 4 . If there are 100 type " $B$ " majors, then one can expect 320 hours of Dpeartment I instruction to be consumed by type " $B$ " majors. Similarly, for any given set of enrollment projections the total estimated credit hour load requirement can be determined.

The program credit hour demands, summed across the departmeats, yield the total credit hours required. At this time other planning parameters may be merged into the matrix (e.g., class size, faculty workloads, support staff ratios, expense formulas, faculty rank mix), to produce the instructional cost per department (see exhibit no. 7). These departmental costs are then distributed to the various degree programs in direct proportion to the number of credit hours drawn (consumed) from each department (see exhibit no. 8).

Exhibit no. 9 shows how the various NCHEMS software fit together. The ICLM and Faculty Data Generator may be implemented independently, or in conjunction with the

[^2]RRPM 1.6. Complete implementation requires the allocation of noninstructional dollars across the cost centers as defined by the HEGIS taxomomy.

The NCHEMS software costs only $\$ 50$ per program (eg, ICLM, RRPM 1.6). implementation costs at Tuskegee were approximately $\$ 4000.00$. The core requirements of the NCHEMS soltware exceeded Tuskegee's capabilities; all implementation occurred at Auburn University's 1BM 360/50 (now a $1 \mathrm{BM} 370 / 155$ ). The computers at Tuskegee were utilized only in data editing for later input. The reguirement of an in-house computer capability is, therefore, not mandatory. For further intormation regarding the NCEHMS software contact:

Mr. Gary Gamso
Stati Associate
NCIIEMS at WICHE
P.O. Drawer P

Boulder, Colorado 80302
(303) 449-3333



Organizational Unit Line.Item Budget for Execution and Control
History Department
Academic Salaries
Support Staff Salaries
Supplies and Expenses
Equipment
Other Expenses
TOTAL

Biology Department
Academic Salaries
Support Staff Salaries
Supplies and Expenses
Equipment
Other Expenses
TOTAL

Fine Arts Department
Academic Salaries
Support Staff Salaries
Supplies and Expenses
Equipment
Other Expenses
TOTAL

Business Department
Academic Salaries
Support Staff Salaries
Supplies and Expenses
Equipment
Other Expenses
TOTAL
\$ 349,087 35,733 4,428 2,864 $\begin{array}{r}5,148 \\ \hline \$ 397,260\end{array}$
$\$ 495,365$
59,629
7.232

4,609
$\begin{array}{r}7,516 \\ \hline \$ 341930\end{array}$
\$ 299,778
24,935
7,808
3.974
4.841
$\$ 341,136$
\$ 418.892
32,888
2,889
2,985
$-7.111$
$\$ 464.765$



AVERAGE
STUDENT MAJOR

## INDUCED

 COURSE LOAD MATRIX

PROGRAMS



RRPM FULL COSTING DATA REQUIREMENTS
Institution Name, Account Name, Ancount Transaction, Account Crossover to PCS Cost Center For all Expenditure Accounts. (Fiscal Year Da:a)


Faculty I.D., Faculty Rank, Faculty Student Major, Student Level, 0
0
0
0
0 Course Discipline, Course
Level. む (Academic Year Data by
Discipline by Course Level Discipline by Cour
by Student Level) (3)

Salary, Instructional FTE, Course Disci-

(Academic Year Data by Discipline

by Course Level) by Course Level CFP $\frac{1}{4}$ | Generate RRPM i.6 |
| :--- |
| Indirect Cost Data |
| From Final Cost |
| Objective Cost |
| Center Balances |

# ORGANIZATIONAL STRATEGIES FOR INSTITUTING A MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM 

Presenters) Lloyd Howell, Moderator

Knoxville College<br>Knoxville, Tennessee

This particular presentation was more visual than descriptive. The following is a brief synopsis of the material presented.

In organizing for the development of a MLS, it is necessary for the person responsible for the leadership role to have a clear cut concept of what a MIS is in terms of its components and what rote or function each component will play in the long range plaming and concurrent decision making of the organization.

Not only must the principal organizer know and understand the above, but must be able to communicate those understandings to staff and persomel that are to work with him.

The M1S organizer cannot assume that those elements of the establishment responsible for key functions of the institution are knowledgeable and ready to adapt to a mechanized system.

The organizer of MIS must over come resistance, inefficiency and fear.
RESISTANCE, as always, is a roadblock to progress. (Here the President or Dean must delegate to someone on the stalf the responsibility along with concommitant authority to effect the necessary organizational changes to institute the system). The delegate must have enough clout administratively, to effectively instrument the change.

Thise persons working in data processing and institutional research are not necessarily the best suited persons for that task even though they perhaps know more about the input-output capabilities of the data processing hardware on campus than any other segment.

What does all this mean then?. . It means that a management system can best be devised by those responsible for managing.

INEFFICIENCY inefficiency is equated with the ratio of input-output being skewed in one direction or the other. The MIS developer mast be able to examine critically the on going system and effectively evaluate it in terms of its ability to meet the critical management decisions needs basis.

In effect if the system is inefficient, then conversely the decision makers may be equaily so. The old maxim of data processors is "il you put trash in the computer then you get trash out".

PEAR-MIS organizers constantly find fear to be a great hinderance to system development. In our day of supersonic speeds, interplanctary travel and interstellar telecommunication, it is found that those closely related to the utilization of our 1130 's are fearful of being replaced by the "monster"; fearful that decisions relative to their working or organizational position will be drastically affected by the system.

The MIS developer through his expertise in daling with the human equation in the Inanagerial structure must abate those fears. This may be best accomplished through adequate communications. By communication it is meant more than telling the staff what is going to happen!

## HOW MICHT THE SYSTEM DEVELOPER GO ABOUT IIIS TASK MOST EFFECTIVELY?

## AT KC TIIE FOLLOWING STRATEGIES WERE FOLLOWEI):

A) Administrative decisions were made to update and upgrade the current system because of inadequate decision making data outputs.
B) Administration change in MIS directorate. (This was done in order to put someone in charge of the systems development that could adequately communicate and relate to those departmental structures that were required to make certain inputs to the system).
C) MIS developer drew up a tentative data schedule, that is, of what might be basically needed by the various administrative components to effectively affect adequate decisions.
D) MIS advisory committee formed. This committee was composed of the:
(1) Academic Dean
(2) Business Manager
(3) Director of Admissions and Records
(4) Financial Aids Officer
(5) Dean of Student Personnel
(6) Institutional Researcher
(7) Director of Development and Staff
a. Office of Alumni Affairs
b. Grants and Contracts
c. UNCF Coordinator
E) The Advisory Committee members were then asked to define their areas of information needs as they saw them in terms of decision making for the college. Each member constructed a list of needs which was given to the MIS Coordinator.
F) The compiled lists were reviewed for their managerial input and efficacy by the administration.
G) The data processing coordinator was then given the lists in order to ascertain the machines input -output capabilities relative to the described data needs.
H) Consultants were called in to evaluate the basic system and equipment as a backup to the data processing coordinator (consultants from IBM are currently working with the staff to develop greater efficiency in the system).

As the MIS Coordinator for Knoxville College, I have developed some very positive attitudes about the IBM Staff as they relate to the continued utilization of the 1130 K .

## INSTITUTIONAL ORGANIZATION

for a
MANAGEMENT INFORMATION EYSTEM

III. Data Processing
IV. Institutional Research
V. MIS Advisory

1. Business Manager
2. Admissions \& Records
3. Financial Aid
4. Dean/Students
5. Dean/Faculty
6. Development
7. Building \& Ginds.
8. Alumni Affairs
9. Corporate Refations

# A FRESHMAN RESEARCH PROJECT 

Presentor(s) Walter C. Howard, Moderator
George B. Tutl

Miles College
Birmingham, Alabama

The disenssion for this session entails a step by step procedural sequence of developing a data base for incoming freshman students at Miles College. Emphasis are placed on the fact that this system can easily be altered at designated areas to appropriately fit any institution without an in house computer system. However, the system described here is only apropos for Miles College. Due emphasis have been given to management techniques stressed by MIS in the development of this project.

Before proceding further, definitions should be established for terms which will invariably be utilized throughout the discussion:

Goal-A statement of what one wants to do sometime in the future, it is large in scope, long in duration, and identifies changes in the problem or area of concern.

Objective-A statement which is rarrower in scope, shorter in duration, and identifies definitive results which lead to successful accomplishment of a goal.

In July of this year (1973), the Ditector of Freshman Studies and staff Counselors decided that the current system for gathering data was not comprehensive enough to deal with the kinds of concerns which were becoming more and more demanding with increased enrollment, more complex counseling needs of the students, etc.

With a sense of programatic dissonance or disharmony in the counseling program, the search began in order to dentify specific obstacles. After collaborating with the pertinent college administrators concerning the situation in the counseling center, the Adhoc Committee for the improvement of counseling services initiated the task of investigating and consequently developing a solution for those concerted.

Chart l-lA graphically describes the first step in the development of a freshman information system.


## (1) Identification of the Problem

fiadequate data collection system which prohibits a fully developed professional counseling program. More specifically, the current system does not facilitate staff personnel in developing well structured procedures for individual and group guidance and counseling. Nor does the present system facilitate counselors in making reliable projections for the college in reference to students needs for tinancial aid, tutorial assistance, social program development, ete.
(2) Quantification of the Prohlem

The system will deal with only the beginning freshmen which total some 300 students.
(3) Identification of Causes of the Problem
A) Unstructured approach utilized in gathering data.
B) Undefined nature and scope of collected data "The scope of data is endess; one must take steps not to reach the point of diminishing return"
C) Unavailable local resources (Money, and technically skilled manpower)
D) Lack of interrelatedness of data
(4) List of Altemate Sohutions

## Criteria:

A) Method selected must not entail cost exceeding a few hundred dollars.
B) Method selected must be flexible enough to allow for future expansion of individual components.

Alternatives:
A) Hire a consultant to develop said system
B) buy a computer
C) Have a staff person with counseling preparation to develop the outline of instrument, concomitantly utilizing the technical services of Manag ment Information Systems Directorate, as well as the computer terminal located a University of Alabama at Birmingham (UAB).
(5) Selection of Best Potential Solution
A) Of course the selection was "C" under no. 4 .
(6) Testing of Best Potential Solution
A) Tests were run on hypothetical data, results found, and cost assessed, which conclusively validated selected method.
(7) Determination of Goal

Chart I-2B graphically illustrates the development of the Miles Freshman Information System.
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The goal stated in its entirety is to develop a system of gathering, storing, and disseminating data for 300 begiming freshmen students which wiff initiate more valid and reliable counseling and planning by staff counselors, mentors, and administrators.

At the completion of the above task, an instrument was designed which is too extensive to display at this point. (located in appendix A). Major items dealt with in the instrument were as follows: Personal Data, Educational Background, Course Registration, Social Responsibilities, Family Background, Financial Aid Needs, High School Math and Sciences Courses/Grades, and Phacement Test Scores.

In chart I-2B, step no. 4 refers to design of statistical reports for counselors and administrators. Chart $1-3 \mathrm{C}$ is indicative of one such report for counselors usage. (All statistical reports designed by computer at UAB)

1-3C

| High School GPA | Total | $\%$ | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.0 |  |  | - |  |
| $3.5-3.9$ | 15 | 5 | 5 | $10^{*}$ |
| $3.0-3.4$ | 45 | 15 | 15 | $30^{*}$ |
| $2.5-2.9$ | 60 | $* 20$ | 25 | 35 |
| $2.0-2.4$ | 90 | $* 30$ | $65^{*}$ | 25 |
| $1.5-1.9$ | 75 | $* 25$ | $65^{*}$ | 10 |
| $1.0-1.4$ | 15 | 5 | 14 | 1 |
| Total | 300 | $100 \%$ | 189 | 111 |

The asterisked categories are the most outstanding points of emphasis because they clearly indicate extreme highs and lows. For example under the percentage column, we note that $30 \%$ of the beginning freshmen enter Miles with a GPA of $2.0-2.4$, while only $15 \%$ enter with $3.0-3.4$. Another observation at the $2.0-2.4$ mark is the fact that 65 of the males as opposed to only 25 females enter at that level. At the 3.0-3.4 mark, the females have twice as many people at that level as compared to the males. The above facts possess serious implications for staff counselors, if GPA's positively correlate with placement test scores.

Chart I-3D ithustrates an analysis report on family income which must be validated by PCS forms and in some cases yearly income tax return forms.

I-31)

| Family Income | Total | $\%$ | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10,000-$ |  |  |  |  |
| $9,000-9,999$ |  |  |  |  |
| $8,000-8,999$ |  |  | 3 |  |
| $7,000-7,999$ | 6 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| $6,000-6,999$ | 60 | $20^{*}$ | 40 | 7 |
| $5,000-5,999$ | 60 | $20^{*}$ | 15 | 20 |
| $4,000-4,999$ |  |  | 45 |  |


| Family fucome | Total | $\%$ | Mate | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3,000 \cdots 3.999$ | 150 | 50* | 85 | 65 |
| 2,000 - 2,999 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| Total | 300 | 100\% | 150 | 150 |

The single most outstanding fact on the above chart is that $50 \%$ of all heginning freshmen families earn between $\$ 3,000-\$ 3,999$ dollars per year, as opposed to only $2 \%$ of the students families carning between \$7,000-\$7,999 dollars per year. Needless to say, these facts are imperative in structuring financial aid prograns as opposed to only utilizing PCS forms to assess students financial aid needs. With the abovementioned methodology, information is gathered on all students and most importantly all information is validated.

Chart I-4E shows a statistical breakdown of enrolment by courses.

$$
1-4 \mathrm{E}
$$

Total

|  | Enrollment \% |  |  | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | 101 | 210* | 70 | 150* | 60 |
| English | 102 | 90 | 25 | 39 | 51* |
| Social Science | 101 | 300 | 100\% | 189 | 111 |
| Social Science | 102 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Life Science | 101 | 15* | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| Physical Science | 102 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Math | 100 | 90* | 25 | 70 | 30 |
| Mith | 110 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| Reading | 101 | 150* | 50 | 110 | 40 |
| Reading | 102 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Religion | 201 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| Religion | 202 |  |  |  |  |
| Psychology | 201 | 60 | 20 | 20 | 40 |
| Foreign Language | 103 |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign Language | 103 |  |  |  |  |
| Physical Ed. | 101 | 300 | 100 | 189 | 111 |
| Physical Ed. | 102 |  |  |  |  |
| Freshman Seminar | 111 | 300 | 100 | 189 | 111 |
| Humanities | 201 | 60 | 20 | 20 | 40 |
| Humanities | 202 |  |  |  |  |

The college maintains three remedial courses which are English 101, Math 100, and Reading $101 \& 102$. The first asterisked entry under the enrollment column cleariy indicates a need for a strong remediation program in English because of 210, or $70 \%$, of the students being placed there as a result of the college placement test. Continuing vertically across, we
also note that 150 of the 210 students are male while only 60 are femate. However in contrast to English 101 enrollment, the English 102 enrollment only has a total of 90 , or 25\%, while 51 of the total group are females only 39 are males. Descending horizontally down the enrolment column we note the next asterisked entry is life science with an enrollment of only 15. The explanation for this fact is that students scoring low on the placement instrument in English ( $<10.0$ ) and reading ( $<8.5$ ) are strongly counseled against registering for life science because of the technical reading involved.

We also note in Math 100, the second remedial course, that 90 students are enrolled while only 15 are enrolled in the regular college Math 110 . We noted earlier that the freshman class had a total of 300 students, while we only have 105 students enrolled in the Math courses. The rationale for this discrepancy is because only those students scoring below 8.0 on the Math placement are required to enroll in Math the first semester. Other students are given the option of taking Math 110 the second semester or even during their sophomore or junior year.

The tast asterisked entry is reading 101 . We have 150 students enrolled which is equivalent to $50 \%$ of the total group of beginning students.

Chart $1-5 \mathrm{~F}$ will give a statistical breakdown at the end of the semester primarily for administrative utilization by the Dean of the College.

I-5F
Courses

|  |  | A |  | \% | B |  | \% | C | \% | D | D | \% | F | \% | W/P | \% | W/F | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| English | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Social Science | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Social Science | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Life Science | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physical Science | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Math | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Math | 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Religion | 201 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Religion | 202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Psychology | 201 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign Language | 104 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign Language | 104 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physical Ed. | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physical Ed. | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Freshman Seminar | 111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Humanities | 201 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Humanities | 202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For the college counselors to properly utilize information in the above categories, a further breakdown would be necessary. For example, statistical reports of individual students according to courses would be a requisite to facilitate individual counseling.

Chart 1-6G gives a statistical breakdown of projected areas of concentration.

$$
1-6 \mathrm{C}
$$

| Projected Areas of <br> Concentration <br> Total <br> Undecided$\quad 30$ |
| :--- |
| Biology |

On the above chart, we have placed asterisks at three points under the total column. The first asterisk indicates that only 10 students of total group of 300 plan to major in chemistry while 75 plan to major in general social science, and only a small number of students (5) contemplate majoring in economics. The most astonishing element involved in internalizing the above facts is the projected number of students planning futures in general social science. Occupational outlook projections indicate that the area of social science is closed for the next ten years, with exceptions for a few master and doctoral degree recipients. By contrast, the bields which are wide open are in the natural sciences and business, while the majority of our students shy away from those fields. The aforementioned facts have vital implications for staff counselors and college administrators in assisting the students in properly preparing for future occupational endeavors.

In closing, it is imperative to reiterate the fact that the aforementioned system is only apropos for Miles College.

## APPENDIX

Student Number







## FAMILY BACKGROUND



|  | STUDENT NUMBER |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 80 |
| 13 |  |

FAMILY BACKGROUND (CONT.)







# METHODS OF DETERMINING DEPARTMENTAL AND INSTITUTIONAL COSTS 

Presentor(s) Laurence Jacobs, Moderator Roger Mikesell

Oakwood College
Huntsville, Alabama
$63 / 4$

## INTRODUCTION

Our mission is to establish a firm basis upon which an atministrative official or officials may promote the growth of a department or choose to discontinue the existence of one, i.e., in addition of a course, deletion of a course, add or drop an instructor based on cost.

Some of the issues in our school have been who gets the new teacher, or who gets the new chassroom or olfice. etc.

## THE PROGRAM

Through a cost type data analysis of existing human and physical resources, we can determine where the needs are greater, and implement them in the order of their importance.

Data and resource requirements used:

1. Student Data
A. Program (major degree program, field of study)
B. Student level
C. Cost center (course discipline, department, division, ete.)
D. Course level (upper or lower division)
E. Units taken (credit hours, contact hours)
F. Student number, or social security numbers
II. Data Needed for Each Cost Center (Department)
A. Salary for each faculty person plus 12 to $15 \%$ for fringe benefits
B. For larger schools expenditure in each category. i.e., supplier. travel, equipment, and supporting staff.
2. Data Needed for Each Instruction Level Within Each Cost Center (Faculty Data)
A. FTE faculty
B. Percent of hours taught (could have administrative duties) or average faculty workload.
C. Size of class section

Basically, the criteria by which our goals are evaluated are Number 1 cost, tradition, needs or obligations to specific students. (Obligation to graduate a major in French if French is discontinued.)
IV. The uncertainties of the program are:
A. Fluctuations in enrolment of the student body
B. Availability of funds
C. Possibility of false information (when information is being collected by a devised instrument)
V. The problems are:
A. Program cannot start until all student and instructor schedule changes have been made.
B. Faculty salary information sensitive
C. All participants must carefully and uniformly classify and code the data
D. Computing instructor FTE complicated by team teaching and instructors who have administrative responsibilities
E. With an old card system such as we have there is the problen of card handling, folding, stapling mutilating, misplacing, etc, as well as card jams.

## Real Value or Out Come:

Common basis on which local departmental costs can be compared with other institutions provides objective basis to determine whether to drop or add elasses or instructors.

Provide a general idea of how much it may cost to establish a new department or what can be saved by eliminating an old one.

## The Statistics

A sample of the findings or a summary of instructional statistics are presented in the appendix. These statistics are self explanatory. Teacher cost is based entirely on the salary of the FTE teacher or teachers for that quarter. The cost per credit hour is obtained by dividing teacher cost (salaries) by student credit hours generated. We feel that because teacher costs amount to eighty percent or more of total instructional costs that it is a good indicator. I do not feel that the statistics presented here are $100 \%$ accurate due to:

1. The insiamment used to collect the data
2. The interest and/or the accuracy of the instructor collecting the information
3. Errors that might have occurred in punching the data on data processing cards.

Regardless of the errors we feel that the method is good and with the refinement of these methods we will be able to come up with a high degree of accuracy. The second set of statistics in the appendix (a range for three statistics) gives an idea as to the upper or lower limits of cost. These costs were obtained from thirteen other schools. For example if one finds teacher cost per credit hour at the lower limit he has a valid reason for the request of a new instructor, ete.

The final items in the appendix are instructions for filling out summary class report forms in a format that can be used or transferred to data processing cards for computer rums. A similar type of instruction was formulated for obtaining faculty information. The form for this information is the last one in the appendix.

| OAKWOOD COLLEGE SUMMARY OF INSTRUCTIONAL STATISTICS Fall Quarter, 1972 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department | $\mathrm{Stu} / \mathrm{Cr} \mathrm{Hrs}$ Generated | FTE Stu | FTE Fac | Stu/Fac Ratio |  | Tchr Cost | Tchr Cost per Cr Hr | No. of Courses Taught | No. of Students Taught | Av Class Size | Cr Hrs <br> Taught |
| Business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business | 571.00 | 36.8 | 2.3 | 16.0 | 5 | 8,335 | S14.60 | 6 | 153 | 25.5 | 23.0 |
| Data Processing | 30.75 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 2.7 |  | $\underline{2,291}$ | 74.50 | $\frac{2}{8}$ | 7 | 3.5 | 5.0 |
| Total | 601.75 | $\overline{38.8}$ | 3.0 | 12.9 | S | $\underline{10,626}$ | \$17.66 | 8 | $\overline{160}$ | 20.0 | 28.0 |
| Secretarial Science | 289.75 | 18.6 | 2.7 | 6.9 | \$ | 8,825 | S30.46 | 8 | 92 | 11.5 | 26.2 |
| Education | 746.75 | 48.1 | 2.8 | 17.2 | S | 10,683 | \$14.31 | 11 | 250 | 22.7 | 39.0 |
| Physical Education | 450.75 | 29.0 | 1.7 | 17.1 | S | 5,352 | \$11.87 | 8 | 327 | 40.9 | 10.0 |
| Behavioral Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anthropology | 68.75 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 14.7 | S | 1,281 | S18.63 | 1 | 14 | 14.0 | 4.0 |
| Behavioral Science | 148.75 | 9.5 | 0.7 | 13.6 |  | 2,688 | 18.07 | 1 | 34 | 34.0 | 4.0 |
| Psychology | 796.75 | 51.4 | 2.0 | 25.7 |  | 7,654 | 9.61 | 7 | 205 | 29.3 | 26.0 |
| Sociology | 484.75 | 31.2 | 1.0 | 31.2 |  | 5,132 | 10.59 | 4 | 118 | 29.5 | 16.0 |
| Total | 1,499.00 | 96.7 | 4.0 | 24.2 | S | $\overline{16,755}$ | \$11.18 | $\sqrt{3}$ | $\overline{371}$ | $\overline{28.5}$ | $\overline{50.0}$ |
| History \& Pol. Sc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| History | 1,332.75 | 85.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 330 | 25.4 | 52.0 |
| Political Science | 44.75 | $2.8{ }^{\prime}$ | 3.9 | $229>$ |  | + |  | 1 | 8 | 8.0 | 4.0 |
| Total | $\underline{1,377.50}$ | $\overline{88.8}$ | $\overline{3.9}$ | 22.0 |  | 15,339 | \$11.14 | $\stackrel{14}{ }$ | 338 | 24.1 | $\overline{56.0}$ |
| Art | 100.50 | 6.5 | 0.7 | 9.3 | S | 1.344 | \$13.37 | 1 | 22 | 22.0 | 4.0 |
| Music | 447.75 | 28.8 | 4.3 | 6.7 | S | 10,191 | \$22.76 | 17 | 200 | 11.8 | 39.0 |
| English |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Er.glish | 1,624.75 | 104.8 | 5.1 | 20.5 | \$ | 18,747 | \$11.54 | 19 | 450 | 23.7 | 68.0 |
| Reading | 70.75 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 5.2 |  | 1,698 | 24.00 | 2 | 14 | 7.0 | 4.0 |
| Journalism | 48.75 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 10.3 |  | 933 | $\underline{19.14}$ | 1 | 9 | 9.0 | 4.0 |
| Total | 1,744.25 | 112.5 | 5.9 | 19.1 |  | 21,378 | \$12.26 | $\overline{22}$ | $\overline{473}$ | $2!.5$ | 76.0 |
| Speech | 184.75 | 11.9 | 0.2 | 59.5 | S | 843 | S 4.56 | 1 | 43 | 43.0 | 4.0 |


|  | OAKWOOD COLLEGE <br> SUMMARY OF INSTRUCTIONAL STATISTICS <br> Fall Quarter, 1972 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Page 2 <br> Cr Hrs <br> Taught |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department | $\mathrm{Stu} / \mathrm{Cr} \mathrm{Hrs}$ Generated | FTE Stu | Fit Fac | Stu/Fac Ratio |  | Tchr Cost | Tchr Cost per Cr Hr | No. of Courses Taught | No. of Students Taught | Av Class Size |  |
| Modern Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| French | 145.75 | 9.4 | 1.5 | 6.3 | \$ | 3,378 | \$23.18 | 3 | 35 | 11.7 | 11.0 |
| Spanish | 76.75 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 24.0 |  | 867 | 11.30 | 1 | 16 | 16.0 | 4.0 |
| Total | 222.50 | 14.4 | 1.7 | 8.4 |  | 4.245 | \$19.08 | 4 | 51 | 12.8 | 15.0 |
| Biology | 865.00 | 55.8 | 2.0 | 27.9 | S | 7,876 | \$ 9.11 | 6 | 204 | 34.0 | 26.0 |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Math | 602.75 | 38.8 | 2.0 | 19.4 | S | 7,205 | \$11.95 | 8 | 154 | 19.3 | 30.0 |
| Physics | 464.75 | 29.9 | 2.0 | 15.0 |  | $\underline{5.936}$ | 12.77 | 4 | 113 | 28.3 | 16.0 |
| Total | 1,067.50 | 68.9 | 4.0 | $\overline{17.2}$ |  | $\overline{13,141}$ | \$12.31 | $\overline{12}$ | 267 | 22.3 | 46.0 |
| Chemistry | 564.75 | 36.4 | 1.7 | 21.4 | \$ | 5,459 | S 9.67 | 6 | 151 | 25.2 | 20.0 |
| Home Economics | 308.75 | 19.9 | 2.3 | 8.7 | S | 6,787 | \$21.98 | 7 | 74 | 10.6 | 28.0 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Theology | 1,878.75 | 121.2 | 3.7 | 32.8 | S | 15,060 | \$ 8.02 | 13 | 514 | 39.5 | 48.0 |
| Biblical Language | 256.75 | 16.5 | 0.4 | 41.3 |  | 1,687 | 6.57 | 2 | 61 | 30.5 | 8.0 |
| Total | $\overline{2,135.50}$ | $\overline{137.8}$ | 4.1 | 33.6 |  | $\overline{16,747}$ | S $\overline{7.84}$ | 15 | 575 | 38.3 | $\overline{56.0}$ |
| TOTAL | 12,577.00 | 811.4 | 45.2 | 18.0 |  | 155,591 | \$12.37 | 153 | 3.598 | 23.5 | 523.2 |


| Discipline | Student/Faculty Ratio | Tchr $\operatorname{Cost} / \mathrm{Cr} . \mathrm{Mr}$.* | Av. Class Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accounting |  |  |  |
| Fall | 10.4-25.5 | \$ $6.44 \cdot \$ 20.63$ | $8.0 \cdot 29.8$ |
| Winter | 8.3-18.9 | \$ 8.68-\$ 26.13 | $7.5 \cdot 22.3$ |
| Spring | 5.1-30.9 | \$ $5.76 \cdot \$ 49.77$ | 9.8-21.0 |
| Agriculture |  |  |  |
| Fall | 5.1-11.5 | \$24.07-\$ 47.16 | $6.5-7.5$ |
| Winter | 7.1 - 8.9 | \$27.73-\$ 32.80 | 6.3 - 8.5 |
| Spring | 3.9-39.9 | \$ 6.45-\$ 58.45 | 5.8-21.4 |
| Anthropology |  |  |  |
| Fall | 14.5-82.5 | \$ 3.38-\$ 18.63 | 12.6-64.0 |
| Winter | 0.7-16.1 | \$15.47-\$361.75 | $1.0 \cdot 17.0$ |
| Spring | 18.1-55.8 | \$ 4.25-\$ 13.71 | 17.5-45.0 |
| Art |  |  |  |
| Fall | 4.1-21.7 | \$13.06-\$ 33.61 | 5.7-32.3 |
| Winter | 6.1-43.7 | \$12.75-\$ 28.37 | 4.2-51.0 |
| Spring | 3.1-25.0 | \$ 3.57-\$81.90 | 7.0-23.1 |
| Biblical Languages |  |  |  |
| Fall | 9.0-41.3 | \$ 6.57-\$ 29.12 | 9.3-30.5 |
| Winter | 8.6-48.0 | \$ $5.78 \cdot \$ 24.84$ | 9.0-29.5 |
| Spring | 9.4-13.8 | \$13.50-\$ 28.97 | 8.4-19.0 |
| Biology |  |  |  |
| Fall | 12.8-34.9 | \$ 7.28-\$ 18.87 | 8.0-44.5 |
| Winter | 14.3-36.8 | \$ 7.05-\$ 13.88 | 20.1-40.0 |
| Spring | 10.0-35.1 | \$ 6.98-\$ 29.83 | 13.6-35.9 |
| Business (Mgmunt.) |  |  |  |
| Fall | $8.5 \cdot 22.5$ | \$11.24-\$ 25.12 | $6.3 \cdot 33.7$ |
| Winter | 3.2-25.8 | \$ 9.86-\$ 55.12 | 5.0-22.1 |
| Spring | 7.8-26.6 | \$10.43-\$ 31.85 | 10.9-20.8 |
| Chemistry |  |  |  |
| Fall | 14.3-28.6 | \$ 9.46-\$ 15.86 | 15.3-37.3 |
| Winter | 9.8-23.8 | \$11.31-\$ 22.06 | 11.2-26.7 |
| Spring | 9.6-23.2 | \$ 9.83-\$ 30.83 | 13.5-57.6 |
| Communication (Brdcstg, Media) |  |  |  |
| Fall | 2.9-15.6 | \$16.29-\$ 76.22 | $5.8 \cdot 15.8$ |
| Winter | 12.7-22.3 | \$ 9.56-\$ 16.95 | $1.7 \cdot 16.2$ |
| Spring | 5.7 -19.5 | \$13.62-\$ 38.88 | $4.3 \cdot 12.8$ |


| Discipline | RANGES FOR THREE STATISTICS 1972.73 |  | Page 2 <br> Av. Class Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Student/Eaculty Ratio | Tchr Cost/Cr. Hr .* |  |
| Data Processing (Info. Sc.) |  |  |  |
| Fiall | 2.7-13.4 | \$22.64-\$ 74.50 | 3.5-22.0 |
| Winter | 4.0-17.0 | \$7.15.\$82.64 | 5.0-14.7 |
| Spring | 11.0-22.4 | \$13.73-\$ 23.93 | 6.0-31.7 |
| Economics |  |  |  |
| Fall | $8.1-21.0$ | \$11.80-\$ 27.40 | 17.0-44.0 |
| Winter | 5.6-20.3 | \$ 5.21-\$ 42.44 | 10.3-22.0 |
| Spring | $7.7-19.5$ | \$ 7.82 - \$ 28.30 | 9.0-33.0 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| Fall | $6.2-17.2$ | \$12.94-\$ 33.65 | 7.0-22.7 |
| Winter | 5.3-19.6 | \$11.67-\$ 38.47 | 5.8-17.2 |
| Spring | 5.7-17.7 | \$14.63-\$ 29.66 | $7.4-17.6$ |
| English |  |  |  |
| Fall | 11.3-20.5 | \$ 6.98-\$ 19.99 | 13.5-23.7 |
| Winter | 10.5-21.2 | \$12.28-\$ 19.58 | 15.0-22.0 |
| Spring | 11.0-18.7 | \$10.57-\$ 21.90 | 14.8-21.6 |
| French |  |  |  |
| Fall | 4.3 - 12.1 | \$23.18-\$ 64.87 | 4.6-12.7 |
| Winter | 2.3-10.6 | \$26.13-\$ 60.66 | 3.2-19.0 |
| Spring | $2.5-9.4$ | \$28.25-\$ 45.22 | $3.0 \cdot 10.3$ |
| Geography |  |  |  |
| Fall | 7.7-23.2 | \$14.80-\$ 26.35 | $6.0 \cdot 30.0$ |
| Winter | 0.2-21.3 | \$13.49-\$ 22.91 | 8.0-25.0 |
| Spring | $6.6-19.7$ | \$10.78-\$41.97 | 5.8-20.0 |
| German |  |  |  |
| Fall | 2.6-14.0 | \$18.66-\$ 51.39 | 4.5-10.7 |
| Winter | 1.9-10.6 | \$23.56-\$ 54.81 | 4.0 - 9.1 |
| Spring | 2.6-12.9 | \$20.25-\$ 94.22 | 3.0-10.3 |
| Health, P.E., Recreation |  |  |  |
| Fall | 6.3-17.1 | \$11.87-\$ 31.20 | 12.5-40.9 |
| Winter | 5.6-14.5 | \$13.97-\$ 40.03 | 11.2-49.3 |
| Spring | 7.1-12.8 | \$16.94-\$ 28.01 | 11.9-37.7 |
| History |  |  |  |
| Fall | 12.1-24.0 | \$12.27-\$ 16.47 | 14.3-37.2 |
| Winter | 13.0-26.7 | \$11.59-\$ 16.25 | 13.1-27.6 |
| Spring | 8.0-23.8 | \$ 9.79-\$ 32.91 | 8.7-32.9 |


|  | Student/Faculty <br> Ratio | Tchr Cost/Cr. Hr.* | Av. Class |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dizcipline |  |  |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { Discipline } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Student/Faculty } \\ \text { Ratio }\end{array} & & \text { Tchr Cost/Cr. Hr.* }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Av. Class } \\ \text { Size }\end{array}\right]$

[^3]
## SUMMARY CLASS REPORT CARD I

Columns
$1-4$ should be punched SCRI
5 should be punched for your instition 11 - Oakwood
6.9 should be punched for academic discipline of the course not necessarily for department in which it is tanght, e.g.:

BS 201 Persomal and Social Adiustment should be punched psychology 2001 for discipline

BS 211 Introduction to Anthropology should be punched anthropology 2202 for discipline

BS 431 Afro-American Culture and Life should be punched sociology 2208 for discipline
10.12 The course number should be punched here, e.g.: 431 for BS 431 .

13,14 The section number (if any) should be punched here, e.g.: Ol for Section no. 1. 15,16 Credit hours for course, e.g.: 04 for 4 hours
17 Partial credits are punched here, e.g.: I for $1 / 4 \mathrm{hr}$., 2 for $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$., and 3 for $3 / 4 \mathrm{hr}$. 18-26 Instructor's Social Security Number
27-30 Number of students enrolled on 15 day of classes, e.g.: 0021 for 21.
31-33, 46.48, or 61.63 Number assigued by college to a particular building in order to identify it. Information is usually in business manager's office, e.g.: 100
34.37, 49-52, 64-67 Room number of particular building or area (playing field - must be a numbered area)

38-41, 53-56, 68.71 Time course begins in military time, e.g.: 8:00 a.m. $=0800 ; 7: 30$ p.m. $=1930$

42, 57, 72 Number of separate meetings per week;e.g.: 1 for one session, 2 for 2 , etc.
43-45, 58-60, 73.75 Number of minutes of faculty-student contact per session, e.g.: : 0 for a one-period class; 100 for a two-period class; 75 for a one and one-half period class

If a course has only lecture sessions, only columns 31-45 are punched.
If a course has only laboratory sessions, only columns 46-60 are punched.
If a cou: se has only other sessions, only columns 61-75 are punched.
If a course has a combination of these sessions, then all the appropriate columns are punched.

Note that two extra categories have been added to other session codes: Ifor regular lecture instruction at an odd hour from the regular lecture time $J$ for regular laboratory instruction at an odd hour from the regular laboratory time

76 ID for others is punched here to identify other type of activity coded in columns 61.75

77 Punch code for type of academic term, e.g.: 1 for quater, 2 for semester
78 Term identification-if a semester institution punch 1 for autumn, 2 for winter-spring, and 4 for summer term

79,80 Punch last two digits of the year, e.g.: 72 for 1972

General Notes: if a differer two cards one for laborator separately

Other Session Codes:
$A=$ Activity Course - . . Music (ehoir, ensembles, orchestra, band); Art (drawing).

I: = Indivilual Instruction - Private music lessons, reading, special projects, individualized instruction.

The purpose of the traber card which must immediately follow the summary dass report card to which it refers is to let us know how many students with which majors are in each class, e.g.: 10 English majors, 8 religion majors, 4 behavioral science majors, ete.

Columns 1-4 are punched SCR(2), (3), (4) depending on the number of cards necessary to cover all the majors at 10 majors to a card.

## SUMMARY CLASS REPORT CARD 1

Card Identification

| S | C | $R$ | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

Institution Identification


A Andrews University
B Atlantic Union College
C Canadian Union College

- Columbia Union College

E Kettering College of Med. Arts
F Kingsway College
G Loma Linda University


Credit Units

\#Students Enrolled

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 27 | 28 |  | 30 |

Frequency

LECTURE SESSIONS -



Time Course Begins
(Military - 0825)

ing Per Week)


Fac-Stu Contact


Time Course Begins
\{Military - 0825\}


| Frequency <br> (Meetings <br> Per Weex) | Fac-Stu Contact |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |



ID for Other
Term.Year Identification


FACULTY SALARY, BENEFITS AND ASSIGNMENTS
Card Identification

| $F$ | $S$ | $B$ | $A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

FSBA $=$ Faculty Salary, Benefits and Assignments

Institution Identification


A Andrews University
B Atlantic Union College
C Canadian Union College
D Columbia Union College
E Kettering College of Med. Arts
F Kingsway College
G Loma Linda University

H Oakwood College<br>1 Paclflc Union College<br>J Southern Missionary College<br>$K$ Southwestern Union College<br>L Union College<br>M Walla Walla College

Faculty Social Security Number


See back of this page for listing of Discipline Codes

Fringe Benefits \{Prerequisites)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |



| Term Identification |
| :--- |
| $\square$ 1 = Quarter <br> $2=$ Semester $\quad \square 78$ |
| $77 \begin{array}{l}1=\text { Autumn } \\ 2=\text { Winter } \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 4\end{array}$ |



# BUILDING PROCESS FOR A MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM 

Presentor(s): Joseph S. White, Moderator
Mr. George Bowie, III
Mrs. Ziner Reid Mrs. Doris Sawyer
Mr. James Swimpson

Elizabetl City State University Elizabeth City, Nortlı Carolina

As the University has grown, various offices have come into being to handle the increasingly complex operation of the University.

Traditionally, each of these offices collected the data needed for its own operation and thus, many offices were collecting the same data items from the same sources. If an administrator asked for information not held in the files of his office, then, his staff had to make requests of several offices for information. Since any one of several offices might have a particular piece of information, requests would be passed from office to office. Delays and losses were common. Once all the information was gathered, usually with several offices supplying the same data items, it had to be reduced to a concise report. Now any inconsistency in the data would be noticed, initially, several rounds of re-examining original source documents, making requests for clarification, and ironing out conflicts between offices. Eventually, an administrative decision would have to be made as to which figures to use.

The problems were clear; unnecessary duplication of both data and work, inconsistency, and lack of verification procedures to insure accuracy as request for data continued to multiply. The need for a method of coordinating the collection, processing, and dissemination of data became urgent.

The Chancellor requested that ways and means be developed to have criteria available, in easy aceess, for long range planning, resource allocations, and program planning and budgeting.

As a result of this mandate from the Chancellor, a task force was formed. Its purpose was to develop an operation and control system.

The operations and control system was to form a data base from which information could be drawn.

The members of the task force represented a cross-section of the University. Their titles ranged from Assistant to the Chancellor to secretaries. The task force followed the line-staff organization so that it could report directly to top management and management could secure cooperation from all areas of the University.

Some members of the task force have received pyerience from TACTICS, and other organizations committed to aiding developing institu.en, in building MIS.

Although the basic training and knowledge exist to implement MIS, the entire University has not been committed to its development until May, 1973. The task force met to establish steps in developing a Management Information System and to determine the date that each step was to be completed.

After extensive research and much discussion, we decided that the MIS could be effectively implemented in ten steps. The ten steps decided on were:

1. To divide the University into logical categories based on the types of data used for specific purposes. The results of this division were five categories: student, staff, courses, facilities, and finance.
2. To identify data elements for the five categories. This was performed by each task force member who searched office flles for all reports produced by his office, then listing the data elements from each report.
3. To complle the data elements by categories. This involved placing the data elements that each task force member collected into one of the five categories.
4. To deflne each data element. Each data element was given a definition that was agreed on by the task force. This was necessary because communication is often impaired by the many definitions of terms based on colloquial expressions. Wiche and Tactics Data Element Dietionary was used as reference.
5. To kdentify the right source of each data element. By assigning the responsibility of collecting specific data elements to key offices, it will eliminate the necessity for having a student fill out several forms requesting the same information and the possibility of a single student appearing under two, or more, different accounts, and so on. If each office is aware of what data it is responsible for, well-documented procedures can be developed which would insure more accuracy and better accountability.
6. To determine the frequency of need for each data element, (usually dictates the availability).
7. To document procedures. With each office being aware of what data elements it is responsible for, documentation procedures should commence. The procedures should identify the origin, the staff member, by title, who is responsible for handling the data, and the person who verifies the data before it becomes a part of the data base.
8. To establish the priorities for implementation. With the completion of step 7, all requirements have been completed for a viable data base for all five categories. Which of the five categories should be developed first? After a lengthy discussion, the student data base was decided on.
9. To build the student base. With the procedures established in step 7 for collecting data elements, data collecting was performed with minimal difficulty.
10. To test program and modules. During the development of each category and/or module, no program was used in regular production. The task force ruled that all modules must go through a complete cycle before it is released for production.

SOURCE: (1) ADMISSION'S OFFICE
(2) REGISTRAR'S OFFICE
(3) DEAN'S OFFICE
(4) RESEARCH OFFICE
(5) TESTING \& SCORING
(6) BUSINESS OFFICE
(7) FIN AID OFFICE:
(8) BOOKSTORE
(9) MAINTENANCE
(10) DEVELOPMENT
(11) FEDERAL PROGRAMS
(12) CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE
(13) STUDENTS' PERSONNEL
(14) DEPT. CHAIRMAN
(15) LIBRARY

DEMOGRAPHIC AND BIOGRAPHICAL DATA

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SOURCE } \\ & \text { OF } \\ & \text { DATA } \end{aligned}$ | ** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELEM } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | ELEMENT TITLE | DEFINITION OF ELEMENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | W BS | 001 | Name | The legal combination of words by which the student is known. |
| 1 | W BS | 002 | Student Identification Number | The unique number assigned by the institution to identify each individual considered to be a student at the institution. |
| 1 | $W \cdots B S$ | 003 | Social Security Number | The number assigned to an individual under the Federal Insurance Contribution Act. |
| 1 | W. BS | 004 | Sex | The sex of the student, male or female. |
| 1 | W | 005 | Birlh Date | The calendar date of birth as designated on the legal registration or certificate. |
| 1 | W | 006 | Citizenship | The country in which the student is legally a citizen. |
| 1 | W | 007 | Civil Rights Racial Category | An indication of the student's ethnic origin. |
| 1 | W | 008 | Geographic Location at First Admission -. County | An institutional defined code for the country in which the student resided at the time of first admission to the institution. |
| 1 | W BS | 009 | Geographic Location at First Admission .-. State | The U.S. Postal Service Code for tise state in which the student resided at the time of first admission to the institution. |
| 1 | W | 010 | Marital Stus | An institutionally defined code for the legal status of the student with respect to wedlock. |
| 13 | AR | 011 | Housing Status | An institutionally defined classification of the type of housing in which the student resides; e.g., institution-operated dormitury, fraternity/sorority, private housing, etc. |
| 1 | W-BS | 012 | Fee Rlesidency Status | An institutionally defined code for the student's residence slatus for purposes of fees and tuition payment; e.g., in-state, out-ofstate, out-of-district. |

**These codes are used to identify the time that the Data Elements are available for use. Refer to page No. 3 for definition of codes.

| SOURCE: (1) | ADMISSION'S OFFICE | (6) | BUSINLSS OFFICE | (11) | FEDERAL PROGRAMS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (2) | REGISTRAR'S OFPICE | (7) | FIN. AID OFPICE | (12) | CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE |
| (3) | DEANS OFFICI | (8) | BOCKSTORE | (13) | STUDENTS' PERSONNLLL |
| (4) | RESEARCH OFFICE | (9) | MAINTENANCE | (14) | DEPT. CHAIRMAN |
| (5) | TESTING \& SCORING | (10) | DEVELOPMENT | (15) | LIBRARY |

## DEMGGRAPHIC AND BIOGRAPHIC DATA STAFF DATA

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { SOURCE } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { DATA } \end{array}$ | ** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELI:M } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | ELEMENT TITLE | DEFINITION OF ELEMENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | WCO | 001 | Name | The legal combination of words by which the employee is known. |
| 6 | WCO | 002 | Staff Identification Number | The unique number assigned to each individual considered to be an employee by the institution. |
| 6 | WCO | 003 | Date of Birth | The calendar date of birth as designated on the employee's legal birth registration or certificate. |
| 6 | WCO | 004 | Sex | The sex of the employee, male o: fersale. |
| 6 | WCO | 005 | Marital Status | The legal status of the employee with respect to wedlock. |
| 6 | WCO | 006 | Citizenship Status | The code that indicates the employee's nationality and visa type, if appropriate. |
| 6 | WCO | 007 | Civil Rights Racial Catcgory | An indication of the employee's ethnic origin. |
| 6 | WCO | 003 | Address -. Street/Apariment | The street identif :ation or P.O. Box number of the location at which the employee may be found or reached. |
| 6 | WCO | 009 | Address - City | The city in which the employee's residence exists. |
| 6 | WCO | 010 | Address - State | The U.S. Postal Service designation of the state in which the employee's place of residence exists. |
| 6 | WCO | 011 | Address . Zip Code | The U.S. Postal Service Zip Code designation for the employee's place of residence. |
| 6 | WCO | 012 | Address - Telephone Number | The telephone number of the employee or that telephone number through which the employee may be reached. |
| 6 | WCO | 013 | Campus Office Campus | The institutionally defined designation for the campus of the institution where the employee's office is located. |

[^4]SF-01

SOURCE: (1) ADMISSION'S OFFICE
(2) REGISTRAR'S OFFICE
(3) DEANS OFFICI:
(4) RESLEARCH OFFICE
(5) TESTING \& SCORING
(6) BUSINESS OFFICE
(7) FIN. AID OFFICE
(8) BOOKSTORE
(9) MAINTENANCE
(10) DEVELOPMENT
(11) FEDERAL PROGRAMS
(12) CIIANCELLOR'S OFFICE
(13) STUDENTS' PERSONNEL
(14) DEPT.CHAIRMAN
(15) LIBRARY

FINANCE RELATED ELEMENTS

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { SOURCE } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { DATA } \end{array}$ | ** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELEM } \\ & \text { NO, } \end{aligned}$ | ELEMENT TITLE | DEFINITION OF ELEMIENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | D | 001 | Purchase Order Number | The vendor's assigned number located in the upper right hand corner of purchase order form. |
| 6 | D | 002 | Sublead or Division Code | Number used to distinguish the institutional account to be affected, whether academi', auxiliary, special program or other. |
| 6 | D | 003 | Department Code | Number assigned by the business office to identify a specific department account. |
| 6 | D | 004 | Line Item Code | A code to classify expenditures. |
| 6 | D | 005 | Item Description | A catalogued description of item. |
| 6 | D | 006 | Quantity | The amount requested by lot per item. |
| 6 | D | 007 | Encurmbrances | The actual amount in dollars and cents of a purchase order. |
| 6 | D | 008 | Transaction Date | The month, day, and year of actual transastion. |
| 6 | D | 009 | Vendor's Name | The name legal of party or company from whom item is requested and/or will ieceive payment. |
| 6 | ) | 010 | Invoice Number | The vendor's transaction number - |
| 6 | D | 011 | Check Date | The month, diy and year of the written check. |
| 6 | D | 012 | Check Number | The number which will affix each check in nume:ical sequence. |
| 6 | D | 013 | Invoice Date | The month, day, and year that the invoice was prepared. |
| 6 | D | 014 | Vendor's Number | The name of a company or individual from whom an item(s). |

**These codes are used to identify the time that the Data Elements are available for use. Refer to page No. 3 for definition of codes.

SOURCE: (1) ADMISSION'S OFFICE

(11) FEDERAL PROGRAMS
(12) CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE
(13) STUDENTS' PERSONNEI.
(14) DEPT. CHAIRMAN
(15) LIBRARY

## FACILITIES-RELATED ELEMENTS



6

| 6 | MS | 202 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| MS | 203 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MS | 204 |


| 6 | MS | 204 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | MS | 205 |

6
6
6

6
6

| 6 | MS |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6 | MS |
| 6 | MS |

$20!$

202

205

206

207

208

Station Type
**These codes are used to identify the time that the Data Elements are available for use. Refer to page No. 3 for definition of codes.

FC. 05

## DEFINITION OF ELEMENT

An institutionally defined identifler for the room. Normally this is the "Room Number."

Categorization of rooms by primary use in accordance with the Higher Education Facilities Inventory and Classification Mantial (Romney, 1972).

The floor area of the room, measured between the principal surface of the walls and partitions at or near floor level.

An institutionally defined code for the organl. zational unit or department to which the room is assigned.

The program to which the activities occurring in this room contribute.

The actual number of stations for primary occupants or users of the room.

The number of stations that would be tocated in the room if the optimum station layout were achleved.

An institutionally defined code for the type of station within thals room e.g., flxed of movable chairs, table seating, stools, lab stations, desks, etc.

An institutionally defined rating for the ap. propriateness of the room for its asslgned activities, e.g., satisfactory, needs major renovation, inadequate for this program, etc.

An indication of whether or not this room is accessible by a wheel chair.

An institutionally defined code describing the special features for this room. May be based on programmatic factors or maintenance factors; e.g.,

## DEFINITION OF CODES

## AVAILABILITY OF DATA BY FREQUENCY AND/OR TIME

CODE CODE DESCRIPTION
D Daily This Data Element should be available for use on or before four o'slock (4:00) each day.
W Weekly This Data Element should be available for use on or before four oclock (4:00) each Friday.

M Monthly This Data Element should be available for use on or before four o' dock (4:00) the last Friday of each month.

Q Qutartert' . . This Data Element should be available on the last Friday of each quarter commencing July 1 of the fiscal year.

BS Before Semester -- This Data Clement should be available one week (5-Days) before the beginning of each semester.

MS Mid Semester - This Data Element should be available one week before Mid -Semester evaluation.
DR During Registration -. This Data Element should be collected and made available during Registration.

AR After Registration - This Data Element should be available at the end of the next day following each Registration.

AX After Examination - This Data Element should be available 48 hours after examination.
CY Calendar Year - This Data Element should be available at the beginning of each calendar year.
FY fiscal Year - This Data Element should be available at the beginning of each fiscal year.
WCO Whenever Change Occur - This Data Element should be available as soon as a change occur in any of the following categories: Student Elements, Course Elements, Facility Elements, Finance Elements, and Staff Elements.

NOTE: In instances where there are unpredictable or unscheduled changes such as in Staff and Facilities, special procedure should be developed to have this data available immediately whenever changes occur.

# A PLANNING, MANAGEMENT AND EVALUATION SYSTEM FOR THE ADVANCED INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM 

Presentor(s): Oscar A. Rogers, Moderator Hilliard Lackey

Jackson State College

Every effective experiment and/or teaching learning experience constst of dearly defined objectives, valid methods and strategies, related human and material resources and evaluation. Permeating both the Basic and the Advanced Programs of Title III of the Higher Education Act of 1965 are the elements of an experiment. However, under the Advanced Institutional Development Program planning, management and evaltation are demanded at a level seldom witnessed under the Basic Program. In our request to AIDP as we have said in applications to the Basic Program, "grant us X number of dollars and we will be able to accomplish various results." Under the Basic Program, we made in many instances reasonable and often essential requests necessary to accomplish minimal development tasks. However, the grants never were funded at a level to accomplish these major developmental ends. Over an eight year period less than an average of $\$ 2.5$ million were received by major developing institutions for fragmented programs-all essential to development but under-financed. Nevertheless, considering the distance in underdevelopedness many institutions have had to come to reach an advanced stage, Title III funds from 1966 to 1973 have been crucial to development if not to survival. Elements of accomability have been inherent in all Title lll funding. Most proposals have included means of documenting accomplishments and achievements.

The application with guidelines for AIDP funds in itself demands planning, management and evaluation. It is a design for accountability.

Planning is required to make the application as well as an evaluation of the planning process of the applicant institution past, present and future.

The applicant institution lists the characteristic and sources of its students, relates the cmployment sources of and for its graduates, describes the social and economic community it serves, provides sources of labor market information, depicts the institution's mission; how it has evolved and developed, and how it is continually evaluated. The mission should have a carcer education orientation for low income students.

Detailed information must be presented concerning, for example, the addition and deletion of courses. This exercise in relating the history of course addition and deletion is essential. This listing should be continual. From the Curriculum Committee such data ought to emanate periodically. Such information helps with planning, staffing, budgeting and other administrative function.

The applicant institution relates the status of planning at that institution. Increasingly governing bodies are demanding more indepth planning on the part of College administration and faculties.

At Ja.kson State College we have used retreats of students and faculty. A flow chart gives an idea of how we try to involve the total College community in planning.

At Jackson State College, our Director of Institutional Research is responsible for gathering vital statistics about the College. He coordinates and channel all data from the Business Office, Registrar's Office, Admissions Office, directors of self-studies and directors of research and program projects. Ideas from faculty are obtained from faculty members by use of this data are essential to deliberate planning and managering.

It is difficult to decide what to do, why to do it, how to do it, and when will it be accomplished without information and involvement of persons.

## A

8
C

| SOURCE of CREATIVE and innovative ideas <br> - individual faculty Members <br> - Students | SUGGESTIONS, PROPOSALS, ETC. <br> - Siudent Governmeni Assn. <br> - Student Organizations | - Faculty Senate <br> - Advisory Council <br> - Alumni Council <br> - Review Board <br> - Leaders of Organizations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Presentation 1 , Proper Committee, Board |  |  |
| Commission or Department Lead. Divisional |  |  |
| Head, or Dean |  |  |
| - Research |  |  |
| - Comments |  |  |
| - Recommendations |  |  |
| IS THE IOEA OR PROGRAM SOUND ANO WORKABLE? |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Return to A |  |  |
| Chiel Adrninsitrator of Major Area + .-..... |  |  |
| IS IOEA OR PROGRAM AMENAQLE TOIMPLEMENTATION? |  |  |
| - Siudy |  |  |
| - Evaluation |  |  |
| - Recommendation |  |  |
| No Yes |  |  |
| Return io B or A for Siudent Recommends to |  |  |
| Government Assn. of Student President |  |  |
| Organizations Revision |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| HAS THE IOEA OR PROGRAM BEENSTUDIED ANO TESTED E | HTOBETRIEO? |  |
| HOW DOESIT AFFECT THE APPROVEO BUCGET OF THECOL |  |  |

Return to C or A for Faculsy Senate, Advisory Council. etc., Revisions

## Yes

Approved and Director to Proper Source for Implementation or Presented to the Board of Trystess with Recommendations

Board of Trustees Institutions of Higher Learning
Siate of Mississippi
APPROVED AS SUQMITTED OR WITH MODIFICATION


Discontinuation
Return to A


Thus, the planning process can be summarized as follows:

1) solicitation of new ideas about what the institution should be doing from all sources through formal means (committee meetings, questionnaires) and informal means (word of mouth)
2) examination of viability of the idea at the appropriate level and development of supporting documentation if the idea has merit
3) referral to the second level of administration in the College for consideration. At this level all policy matters and other constraints are injected and considered. The Office of Institutional Research documents positive and negative aspects
4) referral to the president with a recommendation. If he concurs the appropriate action level begins to develop in implementation plan while it is presented to the Board
5) If approved the total evaluation plan is developed, and the concept is implemented
6) The impact is assessed and corrections in operations, ete, are made to improve the operation if the overall impact is positive.

## II. MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

The achievement of effective management at Jackson State College is a significant challenge. Different functional units, including academic service and administrative departments, operate somewhat autonomously within the framework of the institution. Each of these units has its own personnel, its own objectives, and its own way of doing things. In order to maintain the integrity of Jackson State, however, some degree of control, or at least direction, must be exercised to keep the objectives of the various divisions and departments compatible with the overall objectives of the institution. It is the function of the Administration to provide this direction, as well as to assist the several units in their operation.

Increasing complexity within the College has, therefore, resulted in greater confusion, duplication, and contradiction in the gathering and reporting of data.

Complexities have also risen in Jackson State's relations with other organizations.
Sophisticated techniques, including the use of data processing, equipment, have been brought to bear on the problem, we use 1BM 360/40.

Jackson State administrators became interested in the possibilities of a college-wide administrative information system. This is envisioned as a centralized approach to the solution of a wide range of information deficiencies affecting the entire school. To date, there is no clear understanding of what such a system is composed of, what advantages and disadvantages it has to offer, and how it will service the various departments. The reason is that an information system is not an unique, clearly definable entity. There is no formula which, when followed, will produce a management information system. We know what an information system is, however; it is a concept of what information is available within an organization and how it interrelates. It is a philosophy of organizing information to derive certain benefits if procedures are followed. The objective of a management information system is to provide accurate, timely information in the proper form when and where it has utility. An information pertinent to the operation of the organization is collected once and sorted so that it can be retrieved in the desired format or array from this central storage facility.

The initial groundwork for an integrated management system has been accomplished through the relationship with SUNY-BINHAMTON which was sponsored under previous Title 111 grants. The two schools have cooperated in developing a framework for a college-wide management information system and are in the process of implementing a student accounts system.

## "Management Information System"

Substantial progress has been made in the development of the SUNY-Jackson management information system funded under Basic Title 111. These accomplishments include:

- definition of the student accounts system to include program specifications, data elements, file organization and report requirements.
student record system detinition which includes data element definition, file organization, and certain program specifications.
standard coding file definitions and program requirements.
beginning analysis of the accounts payable definition.
beginning analysis of the admissions system.


## III. EVALUATION

The program will fall under the general direction of the Vice President of College Relations. He will have responsibility for coordinating and implementing the entire effort. Each major activity will also have an activity manager who will be responsible for the implementation of his respective component. They are as follows:

- Faculty Development - Vice President of Academic Affairs
- Student Personnel Services - Dean of Siludents
- Office of College Relations - Vice President of College Relations
- Urban Admimistration Center - Director of Urban Administration Center
- Management Systems - Comptroller; Vice President of Academic Affairs;
- Director of the Computer Center.

The Management Systems component has three managers because of its three somewhat separate activities.

All of these individuals will meet quarterly to discuss the progress of the entire program. In addition, each component and the director will prepare an annual report to the President which will contain the following information:

- Previous year's accomplishments
- Previous year's problems
- Next year's objectives
- Next year's strategies
- Significance of the program to the overall development of the institution.

The annual reports will serve as starting points for an annual review between the President and the administration.

These administrative procedures should provide for the effective implementation of the Title III program. Administrative responsibility is clearly placed with the Vice President of College Reations and the activity managers. The quarterly and annual review processes should insure that the program is being carried out and that it is meeting its objectives. The involvement of our President will provide leadership and concern necessary to insure that the program receives the highest priority.

Program evaluation will be based on both the individual activities and total program accomplishment.

Fiscal control of AIDP funds will be under the responsibility of the Comptroller's olfice and the individual project manager. The financial manager of Federal Funding in the Comptroller's office will have direct responsibility for day-to-day financial administration of the project. This assures that all AIDP expenditures can be separately analyzed. He will process approved purchase requests, validate funding expenditures, approve payments, and prepare quality reports for each activity. In addition, he will record and review expenditures weekly to ensure that budgets are ret being over-extended.


[^0]:    * Details on the summer portion of the training program are available in the "Report on the Information Management Training Institute; 1973" - Volumes 1 and al.

[^1]:    *Funding for this In-service Session was made available through the U.S. Office of Education, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, under the Education Professions Development Act, Section V.E.

[^2]:    1. Huff, Rob. A. and Manning, Charles W., Higher Education Planning and Management Systems - A Brief Explanation, Bou'der, Western Interstate Com'nission for Higher Education, January 1973, p. 3.
[^3]:    *This information is in quarter hour terms. Semester institutions should take $2 / 3$ of their figure to make comparisons.

[^4]:    **These codes are used to identify the time that the Data Elements are available for use. Refer to page No. 3 for definition of codes.

